PLANNING COMMITTEE

Tuesday, 13th May, 2014

Present:- Councillor Michael Clarke – in the Chair

Councillors Bailey, Hambleton, Mrs Hambleton, Matthews, Stringer, Studd, Turner, Williams and Mrs Williams

1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

2. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Cllr Baker, Cllr Miss Reddish, Cllr Sweeney, Cllr Fear and Cllr Howells.

3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

4. APPLICATION FOR MAJOR DEVELOPMENT - HOLDITCH ROAD, CHESTERTON; AIR MANAGEMENT & DESIGN / PHILIP GRATTON; 14/00134/COU

Resolved: That the application be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Standard time limit condition
- 2. Prior approval of revised access details for one way system, including signage and road markings.

5. APPLICATION FOR MINOR DEVELOPMENT -LAND REAR OF 24 TO 36 HEATHCOTE ROAD, MILES GREEN; MR KEV RYDER/MILWOOD LTD; 14/00247/FUL

Resolved: That the application be refused on the grounds that the design is not tenure blind.

6. APPLICATION FOR MINOR DEVELOPMENT -22 CHURCH LANE, MOW COP; MRS C WHITEHURST; 14/00147/FUL

Resolved: That the application be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Standard time limit condition
- 2. Development to be carried out in accordance with the approved listed plans
- 3. Prior approval of materials to be utilised (surfacing of track, facing and roofing materials) and implementation of approved details
- 4. Prior approval of any external lighting implementation of approved details.
- 5. Non commercial use only
- 6. No jumps and similar features

7. APPLICATION FOR MINOR DEVELOPMENT -FORMER VICTORIA COURT, MAY BANK; ADF CONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENTS; 13/00985/FUL

Resolved: That the application be refused for the following reasons:

1. The form and design of the development is inappropriate in the context of its surroundings and fails to take advantage of the opportunities available to improve the image of the area.

2. There is insufficient information to demonstrate the development would not lead to unnecessary tree loss which would have a negative impact on the appearance of the area.

3. The development provides insufficient private rear garden space. In addition, in the absence of an obligation the development fails the make an appropriate contribution towards primary school provision having regard to the likely additional pupils arising from the development

8. APPLICATION FOR OTHER DEVELOPMENT - KEELE HALL, KEELE UNIVERSITY

Resolved: That the application be approved subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Standard time limit
- 2. Approved plans
- 3. Materials

9. APPLICATION FOR OTHER DEVELOPMENT - TELECOMMUNICATIONS MAST, AUDLEY ROAD, CHESTERTON; MONO CONSULTANTS; 14/00239/TDET

Resolved:

- a) That approval be required
- b) That approval be granted

10. APPLICATION FOR OTHER DEVELOPMENT - LAND CORNER OF PIT LANE AND DIGLAKE CLOSE; MONO CONSULTANTS; 14/00241/TDET

Resolved: That approval not be required

11. APPLICATION FOR OTHER DEVELOPMENT - GRASS VERGE ADJACENT TO THE SQUARE, PILKINGTON AVENUE; MONO CONSULTANTS; 14/00243/TDET

Resolved:

- a) That approval be required
- b) That approval be given

12. APPLICATION FOR OTHER DEVELOPMENT - CORNER OF MINTON STREET AND HIGH STREET, WOLSTANTON; MONO CONSULTANTS; 14/00252/TDET

Resolved:

- a) That approval be required
- b) That approval be refused on the grounds of adverse impact on the streetscene

13. APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE (HISTORIC BUILDINGS GRANT) - NEWCASTLE CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH

Resolved: That a grant of £5000 be agreed subject to standard conditions.

14. APPEAL DECISION - 2 NURSERY GARDENS, BUTTERTON

Resolved: That the decision be noted

15. APPEAL DECISION - THE LODGE, STATION ROAD, ONNELEY

Resolved: That the decision be noted

16. URGENT BUSINESS

COUNCILLOR MICHAEL CLARKE Chair